

ON THE NORM OF THE CENTRALIZERS OF A GROUP

MOHAMMAD ZARRIN

ABSTRACT. For any group G , let $C(G)$ denote the intersection of the normalizers of centralizers of all elements of G . Set $C_0 = 1$. Define $C_{i+1}(G)/C_i(G) = C(G/C_i(G))$ for $i \geq 0$. By $C_\infty(G)$ denote the terminal term of the ascending series. In this paper, we show that a finitely generated group G is nilpotent if and only if $G = C_n(G)$ for some positive integer n .

Keywords. Norm; Centralizers; Baer groups; Engel groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 20E34, 20F45.

1. Introduction and results

For any group G , the norm $B_1(G)$ of G is the intersection of all the normalizers of subgroups of G (in fact, $B_1(G)$ is the intersection of all the normalizers of non-1-subnormal subgroups of G). This concept was introduced by R. Baer in 1934 and was investigated by many authors, for example, see [1, 2, 4]. It is well-known [4] that $Z(G) \leq B_1(G) \leq Z_2(G)$. More recently in [10] it has been generalized and showed that the intersection of all the normalizers of non- n -subnormal subgroups of G , say $B_n(G)$ (with the stipulation that $B_n(G) = G$ if all subgroups of G are n -subnormal) is a nilpotent normal subgroup of G of class $\leq \mu(n)$, where $\mu(n)$ is the function of Roseblade's Theorem.

The author in [5] showed, in view of the proof of the main theorem, that every group with finitely many n of centralizers is nilpotent-by-(finite of order $(n-1)!$). That is,

$$|G / \bigcap_{a \in G} N_G(C_G(a))| \leq (n-1)!.$$

(See Theorem 2.2 of [6] and also Theorem B of [7].) This result suggests that the behavior of centralizers has a strong influence on the structure of the group (for more information see [8] and [9]). This is the main motivation to introduce a new series of norms in groups by their normalizers of the centralizers.

Definition 1.1. For any group G , we define the subgroup $C(G)$ to be the intersection of the normalizers of the centralizers of G . That is,

$$C(G) = \bigcap_{a \in G} N_G(C_G(a)).$$

Clearly $B_1(G) \leq C(G)$. Define the series whose terms $C_i(G)$ are characteristic subgroups as follows:

$C_{i+1}(G)/C_i(G) = C(G/C_i(G))$ for $i \geq 0$. By $C_\infty(G)$ denote the terminal term of the ascending series.

We say that a group G is a \overline{C}_n -group (\overline{C}_∞ -group) if $C_n(G) = G$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($G = C_\infty(G)$, respectively).

We give a characterization for finitely generated nilpotent groups in terms of the subgroups $C_i(G)$ of G , as follows:

Theorem. Let G be a finitely generated group. Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (1) G is nilpotent;
- (2) $G = C_n(G)$ for some positive integer n ;
- (3) $G/C_m(G)$ is nilpotent for some positive integer m .

2. Proof

For the proof of the main Theorem we need the following Lemmas.

An element x of G is called right n -Engel if $[x, {}_n y] = 1$ for all $y \in G$, where $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}x^y$ and $[x, {}_{m+1} y] = [[x, {}_m y], y]$ for all positive integers m . We denote by $R_n(G)$, the set of all right n -Engel elements of G and for a given positive integer n , a group is called n -Engel if $G = R_n(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. For any group G , the subgroup $C(G)$ is nilpotent of class ≤ 3 and so it is soluble of class ≤ 2 .

Proof. Let $x \in C(G)$. Then, by definition of $C(G)$, $C_G(y)^x = C_G(y)$, for all $y \in G$. It follows that $[x, {}_2 y] = 1$, for all $y \in C(G)$. That is, $C(G)$ is a 2-Engel group. But it is well-known that every 2-Engel group is a nilpotent group of class at most 3, completing the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. Since $C(G)$ is a nilpotent group of class ≤ 3 , it is easy to see that every \overline{C}_n -group is a soluble group of class at most $2n$.

The converse of the above Remark is not true in general. For example the symmetric group of degree 3, S_3 is not a \overline{C}_1 -group. Here we show that the class of \overline{C}_1 -groups is closed by subgroups. In fact, we have.

Lemma 2.3. For every subgroup H of G , we have

$$H \cap C(G) \leq C(H).$$

Proof. We have $H \cap C(G) = H \cap (\bigcap_{a \in G} N_G(C_G(a))) = \bigcap_{a \in G} (H \cap N_G(C_G(a))) = \bigcap_{a \in G} N_H(C_G(a)) \leq \bigcap_{a \in H} N_H(C_G(a)) \leq \bigcap_{a \in H} N_H(C_H(a)) = C(H)$ which is our assertion. \square

We denote by $Z_i(G)$ is i -term of the ascending central series of G . Here we give a very close connection between this series and the upper central series.

Lemma 2.4. For any group G , we have

$$Z_{i+1}(G) \leq C_i(G) \leq R_{2i}(G).$$

Proof. We let $C_i = C_i(G)$, and proceed by induction on i . First we show that $Z_{i+1}(G) \leq C_i(G)$. It is clear, if $i = 1$. Assume that $x \in Z_{i+1}(G)$. So $[x, y] \in Z_i(G)$ for all $y \in G$ and so, by the induction hypothesis, $[x, y] \in C_{i-1}$. It follows that $y^x = yt$ for some $t \in C_{i-1}$ and therefore

$$C_{G/C_{i-1}}(y^x C_{i-1}) = C_{G/C_{i-1}}(y C_{i-1}).$$

Which implies that $x \in C_i(G)$. Hence $Z_{i+1}(G) \leq C_i(G)$.

Now we show that $C_i(G) \subseteq R_{2i}(G)$. Again, it is clear, if $i = 1$. Assume that $x \in C_{i+1}(G)$. It follows that $[x, {}_2y] \in C_i(G)$ for all $y \in G$. So, by the induction hypothesis, $[x, {}_2y] \in R_{2i}(G)$ and so $x \in R_{2i+2}(G)$, and this completes the proof. \square

We note that it is not true in general that $Z_{i+1}(G) = C_i(G)$. For instance, if G is the dihedral group of size 32, then $Z_3(G) < C_2(G) = Z_4(G) = G$. In fact, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a dihedral group of degree n , D_n . Then*

$$C_i(G) = Z_{2i}(G),$$

for any $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $n = 2^\alpha m$, where $(2, m) = 1, \alpha \geq 0$. It is easy to see that

$$|C_1(D)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha \leq 1; \\ 2 & \alpha = 2; \\ 4 & \alpha \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

It follows, by Lemma 2.4, that $Z_2(G) \leq C_1(G)$ and so $Z_2(G) = C_1(G)$. Hence $C_i(G) = Z_{2i}(G)$ (note that $Z_j(G)/Z_i(G) = Z_{i+j}(G)/Z_i(G)$ for any $i, j \geq 0$.) \square

The class of nilpotent groups is not closed under forming extensions. However, we have the following well-known result, due to P. Hall (this result is often very useful for proving that a group is nilpotent).

Theorem (P. Hall). Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G . If G/N' and N are nilpotent, then G is nilpotent.

Here we show that the following statement (note that the subgroup $C(G)$ is nilpotent).

Lemma 2.6. *For any finitely generated group G , we have*

$$G/C(G) \text{ is nilpotent} \iff G \text{ is nilpotent.}$$

Proof. Let $G/C(G)$ is a finitely generated nilpotent group and $x \in G$. By definition of $C(G)$, $N_G(C_G(x))/C(G)$ is a subgroup of $G/C(G)$ and so, as $G/C(G)$ is nilpotent, it is subnormal subgroup of $G/C(G)$. It follows that $N_G(C_G(x))$ is subnormal subgroup of G , written $N_G(C_G(x)) \trianglelefteq \trianglelefteq G$. Hence

$$\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq C_G(x) \trianglelefteq N_G(C_G(x)) \trianglelefteq \trianglelefteq G.$$

Therefore $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq \trianglelefteq G$. That is, every cyclic subgroup of G is a subnormal subgroup of G . So G is a finitely generated Baer group, where a group G is said to be Baer if for every $x \in G$ the cyclic subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ is subnormal in G . But it is well-known that Baer groups are locally nilpotent. Hence G is a nilpotent group and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let H is a subgroup of finitely generated group G . Then we have*

$$H/C_i(G) \text{ is nilpotent} \iff H \text{ is nilpotent.}$$

Proof. We argue by induction on i . Let $H/C(G)$ is a finitely generated nilpotent group. Then according to Lemma 2.3, $C(G) = C(G) \cap H \leq C(H)$ and so

$$H/C(H) \cong (H/C(G))/(C(H)/C(G)).$$

From which it follows that $H/C(H)$ is nilpotent and so, by Lemma 2.6, H is nilpotent. Now assume that $i > 1$ and $H/C_{i+1}(G)$ is a nilpotent group. In this case we have

$$H/C_{i+1}(G) \cong (H/C_i(G))/(C_{i+1}(G)/C_i(G)).$$

Applying the induction hypothesis (note that $C_{i+1}(G)/C_i(G) = C(G/C_i(G))$ and $H/C_i(G) \leq G/C_i(G)$), we thus conclude that $H/C_i(G)$ is nilpotent and so, again by the induction hypothesis, H is nilpotent, completing the proof. \square

We can now deduce the main Theorem.

Proof of the Theorem. According to Lemma 2.4, every nilpotent group of class $n + 1$ is a \overline{C}_n -group. Now assume that G is a finitely generated \overline{C}_n -group. According to Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.2, we conclude that G is finitely generated $2n$ -Engel soluble group, so it is well-known (see [3]) that G is nilpotent. Finally Lemma 2.7 completes the proof.

Remark 2.8. In view of Lemma 2.4, one can see that every nilpotent group (note necessarily finitely generated) of class $n + 1$ is a \overline{C}_n -group.

Finally, we state the following Question.

Question 2.9. *Is the nilpotency class of every nilpotent \overline{C}_n -group bounded by n ?*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baer, Norm and hypernorm, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1956) 347-356.
- [2] J.C. Beidleman, H. Heineken and M. Newell, Center and norm, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **69** (3) (2004) 457-464.
- [3] K. W. Gruenberg, Two theorems on Engel groups, Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **49** (1953) 377-380.
- [4] E. Schenkman, On the norm of a group, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960) 150-152.
- [5] M. Zarrin, Criteria for the solubility of finite groups by its centralizers, Arch. Math. (Basel) **96** (2011) 225-226.
- [6] M. Zarrin, On solubility of groups with finitely many centralizers, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **39** No. 3 (2013) 517-521.
- [7] M. Zarrin, Derived length and centralizers of groups, J. Algebra Appl. **14**, No. 8 (2015) 1550133 (4 pages).
- [8] M. Zarrin, On non-commuting sets and centralisers in infinite group, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. to appear.
- [9] M. Zarrin, On element-centralizers in finite groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) **93** (2009) 497-503.
- [10] M. Zarrin, Non-subnormal subgroups of groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **217** (2013) 851-853.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KURDISTAN, P.O. BOX: 416, SANANDAJ, IRAN
E-mail address: m.zarrin@uok.ac.ir, zarrin@ipm.ir